

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/244,043	02/04/1999	HIRONORI KANNO	826.1535/JDH	3301
21171	7590 11/19/2003	•	EXAMINER	
STAAS & HALSEY LLP			HONG, STEPHEN S	
SUITE 700 1201 NEW Y	ORK AVENUE, N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	ON, DC 20005		2178	10
			DATE MAILED: 11/19/2003	10

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•							
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	9			
		09/244,043	KANNO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Stephen S. Hong	2178				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	; 			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 In SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In seperiod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the provision of the provisi	36(a). In no event, however, may within the statutory minimum of will apply and will expire SIX (6) Notes the application to become	y a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 I	<u>November 2003</u> .					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under			rits is			
	ion of Claims						
•	Claim(s) 3-14,16 and 17 is/are pending in the	• •					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.					
· · · ·	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
·	Claim(s) <u>3-14,16 and 17</u> is/are rejected.						
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	a alastian rassuirassant					
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o ion Papers	r election requirement.					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accept	pted or b) objected to b	y the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in ab	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	•			
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)□ approved b)□	disapproved by the Examiner.				
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	ply to this Office action.					
12) 🗌	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.					
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received ir	Application No				
* 5	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bu See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))).	;			
	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a	a) The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ovisional application has	been received.				
Attachmen		py under ee eie.					
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is responsive to Amendment D, filed 10/6/03 and RCE filed on 11/5/03.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

3. Claims 3-14, 16, and 17 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bretschneider et al (6,008,807).

With respect to independent claim 17, and dependent claims 3-5 and 10-11. Bretschneider discloses a "slide show presentation system"—refer to Bretschneider's

Art Unit: 2178

abstract. Bretschneider describes Microsoft Corporation's "PowerPoint" application. Bretschneider further discloses:

"browser unit to obtain web page information using address information defined on an information network, and to output the obtained information" and "storage unit to store a predetermined correspondence relationship between a plurality of pieces of address information of web page information and a plurality of sequence numbers representing a predetermined output sequence" at abstract (browser mode), figure 1, column 3 (line 65) through column 4 (line 23), and column 6 (lines 44-58). Bretschneider discloses the computer used to execute the slide presentation program (columns 3-4). Bretschneider discloses a permanent storage medium 108 for storing the program and slide data. Refer also to Bretschneider's column 6 (lines 44-58), in which he discloses retrieving slide presentations from the Internet (hence, "web page information . . . defined on an information network").

"control unit to increment a control variable indicating one of the sequence numbers . . . ". Refer to Bretschneider's figures 9A-9C and column 10 (bottom) through column 13. (Note: there is a typographical error at the bottom of column 10—"6A-6C" should read "9A-9C".) Specifically, refer to Bretschneider's column 11 (lines 28-33), in which he discloses allow a slide presentation author to select slides that are to be included in the slide show. Bretschneider allows the user to customize the slide show to include selected slides.

It is noted that Bretschneider fails to explicitly teach the "user-specified correspondence relationship between . . . address information and . . . sequence

Art Unit: 2178

numbers". However, such a teaching would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention in view of Bretschneider's teaching of the custom presentation (figure 9A (922), column 11) because the user can choose which slides and in what sequence. Thus, there is an implied correspondence between the address of the slide and the "sequence number". In other words, the order of presentation of the slides implies the claimed "sequence numbers".

With respect to dependent claims 6-7, refer to Bretschneider's column 11 (lines 33-41). Bretschneider teaches optionally using preset timings to automatically advance slides in the slide show. As per claim 7, it is noted that Bretschneider does not explicitly teach "changes the time intervals according to each of the plurality of sequence numbers". Bretschneider does teach "Using timings". It is noted that this term is plural, i.e., timings—not timing. Thus, it appears that Bretschneider teaches separate time intervals for individual slides. However, even if this were not the case, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide variable time intervals because it was well known at the time of the invention that some slides could be skimmed over quickly, while others would warrant more time.

With respect to dependent claims 8-9, refer to Bretschneider's column 11 (lines 11-27), in which he discloses narrations. It is noted that Bretschneider fails to teach "music". However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include "music" because "narrations" broadly reads on any audio annotation, including music or other sound effects. Since Bretschneider allows for narrations, then a sound card is implied, which indicates that other forms of audio annotation would be possible (and desirable, depending on what the user would want to include in the presentation).

With respect to dependent claim 16, Bretschneider's disclosure of automatic advancement via predetermined time intervals provides a teaching of "does not require manual advancement"—column 11, lines 33 et seq.

With respect to independent claims 12-14, refer to the rationale relied upon in rejecting independent claim 17. Claims 12 and 13 are essentially directed to "computer-readable storage medium which stores a program for causing a computer to perform" steps corresponding to the functions set forth in claim 17. Claim 14 is a "slide show method" for preparing and presenting a slide show that essentially corresponds with the functions set forth in claim 17.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 10/6/03 have been carefully considered, but are non-persuasive.

On page 6 of the amendment, Applicant asserts the following point:

In regard to the feature of canceled claim 11 that was amended into the independent claims, Bretschneider is limited to the web page information being stored on a remote computer (Bretschneider, col.10, lines 2-7). Disadvantageously, Bretschneider requires a connection to a network or ISP. In

Application/Control Number: 09/244,043

Art Unit: 2178

contrast, one of the advantages of the present invention is that Internet access is not required during presentation of the slide show because the web page information is downloaded and stored as a local file (Specification, p.10, lines 6-9).

Specifically, independent claims 12-14 of the subject application (as amended herein) recite "storing the web page information to be outputted corresponding to address information wherein the web page information which is stored is obtained using address information." Independent claim 17 of the subject application (as amended herein) recite "a storage unit to store the web page information to be outputted corresponding to address information, wherein the browser unit obtains the web page information which is stored in the storage unit using access information informed by the control unit."

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e.," the advantages of the present invention is that Internet access is not required during presentation of the slide show....") are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

What is in fact claimed is "storing the web page information to be outputted corresponding to address information wherein the web page information which is stored is obtained using address information." And, this feature is shown by Bretschneider even though Bretscheider may require a connection to the Internet. Note that the web page information is downloaded from the remote site and then stored in the local computer before presented to the user. Since any web page presented on a computer is first stored in memory of the computer, the storage of the web page and the access of the web page using the address information are clearly shown.

Art Unit: 2178

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen S. Hong whose telephone number is (703) 308-5465. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday, 9:00am to 6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather Herndon can be reached on (703) 308-5186. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

> ebhenHong 🗘 **Primary Examiner** Art Unit 2178

Page 7

November 14, 2003